

# A systematic review of older people's perceptions of facilitators and barriers to participation in falls-prevention interventions

FRANCES BUNN\*, ANGELA DICKINSON\*, ELAINE  
BARNETT-PAGE\*, ELIZABETH MCINNES†  
and KHIM HORTON\*\*

## ABSTRACT

The prevention of falls is currently high on the health policy agenda in the United Kingdom, which has led to the establishment of many falls-prevention services. If these are to be effective, however, the acceptability of services to older people needs to be considered. This paper reports a systematic review of studies of older people's perceptions of these interventions. The papers for review were identified by searching electronic databases, checking reference lists, and contacting experts. Two authors independently screened the studies and extracted data on the factors relating to participation in, or adherence to, falls-prevention strategies. Twenty-four studies were identified, of which 12 were qualitative. Only one study specifically examined interventions that promote participation in falls-prevention programmes; the others explored older people's attitudes and views. The factors that facilitated participation included social support, low intensity exercise, greater education, involvement in decision-making, and a perception of the programmes as relevant and life-enhancing. Barriers to participation included fatalism, denial and under-estimation of the risk of falling, poor self-efficacy, no previous history of exercise, fear of falling, poor health and functional ability, low health expectations and the stigma associated with programmes that targeted older people.

**KEY WORDS** – health attitudes, health-related behaviour, adherence, older people, falls prevention, systematic review.

## Background

Falls are the leading cause of serious accidental injury (resulting in admission to hospital for four or more days) amongst people aged 65 or more years in the United Kingdom (Cryer 2001). Hip fractures are

\* Centre for Research in Primary and Community Care, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, UK.

† Royal College of Nursing Institute, Oxford, UK.

\*\* Division of Health and Social Care, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, UK.

an especially grave complication of falls in older adults, and result in more hospital admissions than any other type of injury (Jensen *et al.* 1982), which during 2000 cost the National Health Service (NHS) in England around £1.7 billion (Easterbrook *et al.* 2001). There is a 10–20 per cent reduction in expected survival in the first year following a hip fracture (Cummings *et al.* 1985; Magaziner *et al.* 1989; Lu-Yao *et al.* 1994), and roughly one-half of survivors never recover normal function (Magaziner *et al.* 1989). Falling can also have serious psycho-social consequences, such as increased anxiety and depression, and can raise the fear of falling and reduce activity (Chandler *et al.* 1996; Lachman *et al.* 1998; Yardley and Smith 2002). The prevention and management of falls in older people is a key target of the Department of Health (DOH 2001), and national guidelines on the topic have recently been produced by the UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE 2004).

Older people are at particular risk of falls and fall-related injuries. Physiological changes with age, such as osteoporosis, postural instability, gait disturbances, diminished muscle strength, poor vision and cognitive impairment, as well as multiple medications, are all risk factors for falling. Environmental hazards, such as steps, stairs, beds, baths, showers, lighting, loose rugs, and the absence of grab rails and banisters, have also been identified as contributing to falls (Parker, Twemlow and Pryor 1996; Lilley, Arie and Chilvers 1995; Cryer 2001). Apart from the injury sustained in the fall, there are other potential consequences, such as loss of mobility, increased dependency and disability, hypothermia, pressure-related injuries and infections (DOH 2001).

In recent years, much attention in health-promotion research and in health care has focused on falls and falls prevention among older adults. In particular, several trials and systematic reviews have investigated the effectiveness of various falls-prevention strategies, and shown that effective interventions include multi-disciplinary, multi-factorial risk-factor screening and intervention programmes, muscle strength and balance training, individually-tailored home exercise programmes, home modification, *Tai Chi* programmes, medication review, and the follow up of patients who have fallen (Cryer 2001; Easterbrook *et al.* 2001; Gillespie *et al.* 2003; Parker, Gillespie and Gillespie 2005). Previous reviews have concentrated on quantitative evaluations of effectiveness, however, and have neglected the patients' views about the acceptability of the programmes. As a result, service or guideline developers have little information by which to improve acceptability or adherence.

## **Aims and objectives**

The aim was to undertake a systematic review of the research evidence on the barriers and facilitators which influence older people's participation in, and adherence to, falls-prevention programmes and interventions, and to identify the measures that promote acceptance. The exercise was expected to identify examples of good practice. Five review questions were to be asked of each published report:

1. What influences whether older people participate in falls-prevention programmes?
2. What factors prevent older people from taking part in falls-prevention programmes?
3. What do older people perceive to be the benefits of falls-prevention programmes?
4. What interventions are effective in promoting participation in falls-prevention programmes?
5. What are the key components of successful interventions for promoting participation in falls-prevention programmes?

## **The search strategy and coding**

The studies of interest were those that evaluated interventions to promote adherence to, or participation in, a falls-prevention programme or strategy, and that identified the factors that influenced whether older people participated and were compliant. Further details of the inclusion criteria are provided in Table 1. We searched for all potentially relevant literature, both published and unpublished, with no date restrictions, and included relevant evidence regardless of country of origin. In order to find all potential studies we used a broad, topic-oriented approach. Methodological search filters were not used, as many non-randomised studies are not key-worded by study design (Peersman *et al.* 1998). The search terms were both free-text and 'medical subject heading' (MeSH) terms and they were combined with the appropriate Boolean operators. Details of the search terms and the databases that were searched are given in Table 2. In addition, lists of references in the selected papers were checked for otherwise unfound contributions. To identify unpublished or grey literature, we contacted field researchers and experts, including the guideline development group of NICE. The searches were conducted in January 2005.

TABLE 1. *Inclusion criteria for the systematic review*

Attribute	Intervention studies	Other studies of facilitators and barriers
Type of study	Randomised-control trials, controlled trials, controlled before/after studies	Any type, including non-intervention and qualitative. Excluding editorials/policy documents/single case studies.
Participants	Older people (mainly aged 65+ years)	Older people (mainly aged 65+ years)
Intervention	Main focus: intervention to promote participation in falls-prevention programmes	Examining barriers and facilitators to participation in programmes/interventions.
Setting	All settings (including A&E/care home/hospital)	All settings (including A&E/care home/hospital)
Outcomes	Rates of compliance/adherence with programme/strategy Predictors of compliance/adherence  Participants' views and experiences of programme/strategy	Measures of/self reports of barriers/benefits of participation. Participant views/experiences of falls prevention-strategies.

*Note:* A&E Accident and emergency hospital departments (= casualty wards).

TABLE 2. *The search strategy*

#### Search terms and sequence

- #1. (fall or falls or falling or faller\* or fallen or slip or trips or tripped). #2. accidental falls.  
 #3. femoral neck fractures. #4. (#1 or #2 or #3).  
 #5. (old or older or senior\* or elder\* or aged or geriatric\* or middleage\*) #6. (#4 and #5)  
 #7. (impact\* or psycholog\* or psychosocial or emotion or experience\* or subjective\* or status  
 or perception\* or consequence\* or sequelae or effect\* or meaning\* or rating\* or view\*)  
 #8. (#6 and #7)

#### Abstract databases searched

AMED (Allied and Complementary Medicine Database) 1985–2005  
 CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature) 1982–2005  
 CCTR (Cochrane Controlled Trials Register) Cochrane Library Issue 1, 2005  
 DARE (Database of Reviews of Effectiveness); Cochrane Library Issue 1, 2005  
 HTA (Health Technology Assessment Database) 1988–2005  
 HMIC (Health Management and Information Consortium) 1983–2005. Includes the database of  
 The King's Fund (London), the Department of Health Library and Information Service, and  
 The Nuffield Institute for Health (Leeds).  
 MEDLINE 1966–2005  
 UK National Research Register (Issue 1 2005).  
 NHS Economic Evaluations Database 1968–2005  
 PsychInfo 1872–2005  
 SIGLE (System for Information on Grey Literature) 1976–2005  
 ZETOC (British Library Electronic Table of Contents) 1993–2005

All citations identified by the above searches were downloaded into an *Endnote* database. Two authors independently screened the titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria and extracted data from the full papers

TABLE 3. *Core principles of quality assessment for main study designs*

Study type	Scoring strategy and criteria
Randomised-controlled trials	Quality scoring: Allocation to treatment groups concealed Study blinded, if possible All randomised participants included in the analysis (intention to treat) Withdrawals/drop-outs, reasons given for each group
Cross-sectional studies/surveys	Quality scoring: Selected subjects are representative (all eligible or a random sample) 80 per cent or more agreed to participate Exposure/outcome status ascertained in a standardised way
Qualitative studies	Assessed on seven criteria, scored as 'yes', 'no', 'partly' or 'unclear': Scope and purpose, <i>e.g.</i> clearly stated question, clear outline of theoretical framework Design, <i>e.g.</i> discussion of why particular approach/methods chosen Sample, <i>e.g.</i> adequate description of sample used and how sample identified and recruited Data collection, <i>e.g.</i> systematic documentation of tools/guides/researcher role, recording methods explicit Analysis, <i>e.g.</i> documentation of analytic tools/methods used, evidence of rigorous/systematic analysis Reliability and validity, <i>e.g.</i> presentation of original data, how categories/concepts/themes developed and were they checked by more than one author, interpretation, how theories developed, triangulation with other sources Generalisability, <i>e.g.</i> sufficient evidence for generalisability or limits made clear by author(s)

onto a specially designed form. Disagreements were resolved by discussion. Two reviewers independently assessed the quality of the selected studies using design assessment checklists. The quality criteria were informed by several sources (Higgins 2006; Thomas *et al.* 2003; Spencer *et al.* 2003) and are similar to established tools (Mays and Pope 1995; Giacomini and Cook 2000). The core quality-assessment principles are summarised in Table 3.

The papers were categorised by study design using the following categories: randomised-controlled trial, controlled trial, before/after study (with or without control), cohort study (with or without concurrent controls), case control, survey, process evaluation and qualitative study. Process evaluations were categorised in terms of the intervention's implementation, its acceptability, and the explanations given about why an intervention was successful or unsuccessful. Non-intervention studies (cohort, case-control and cross-sectional survey designs) were differentiated by whether they aimed to identify or analyse the factors that influence adherence with falls and fracture prevention, and whether they

sought older people's views about such programmes. Data were also extracted on the type, location and duration of the intervention, the characteristics of the participants and providers, the country, the main aims of the study, and the outcome measures.

### **The reviewed studies**

The electronic searches yielded 6,191 records from all data bases, including duplicates. Of those, 134 appeared potentially relevant and a hard copy was obtained for screening. After full text review, 24 studies met the inclusion criteria. One study (Yardley and Todd 2005) was in two parts using both quantitative and qualitative methods. The remaining studies were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria or because the quality was poor. Details of the studies' aims, settings and methods, of the interventions (where appropriate), and of the participants are summarised in Table 4 (further details are available on request from the authors).

Seven studies took place in the UK (Table 4, rows 1, 2, 5, 7, 8/9, 19, 20); seven in the USA (6, 10, 11, 14, 16–18); five in Australia (3, 4, 12, 23, 24); and five in Canada (13, 15, 21, 22, 25). Thirteen studies focused on people living in the community (1, 3–5, 8/9, 10–15, 19, 20); one on a combination of community dwellers and nursing/residential home residents (6); and three on people living in a continuing-care retirement village (16–18). Two studies were conducted in hospital (2, 7). Of the studies in English-speaking countries, only a few examined the health-promotion needs of non-English speaking groups (5, 21, 25). In the majority of the studies, the participants were aged 60 or more years, but in one (13) they were aged 55 or more years. The participants were variously those at high risk of falling or the 'healthy and active'. Sample sizes ranged from eight to 89 in the qualitative studies, and from 19 to 1,500 in the quantitative studies.

Only one study (18) evaluated an intervention (more exercise) explicitly to promote adherence to, or participation in, a falls-prevention programme or strategy. Two studies were process evaluations. One (1) looked at the implementation of and adherence to a nurse-led falls-prevention programme, and the other (13) examined the acceptability to older people of line-dancing and *Tai Chi* classes. The other studies all identified factors involved in older people participating or complying with falls-prevention programmes. Five of these examined older people's general views on falls and falls prevention (2, 4, 5, 6, 8), two examined specific adherence factors, such as self-efficacy (3, 12), and the rest aimed to identify the factors that

influence adherence to falls and fractures prevention interventions. The following sections of the paper present the findings about three groups of studies concerned respectively with falls and falls prevention in general ( $N=8$ ), exercise interventions (11), and home modifications or assistive devices, *e.g.* canes (5).

### The methodologies and quality of the studies

The *qualitative* studies employed methodologies that ranged from phenomenology to discourse analysis, although some did not make the method clear (Tables 5 and 6). In general, the studies had clearly defined aims, and gave adequate descriptions of the sampling and data collection, but there was limited evidence of sample validation, triangulation or assessments of generalisability. All examined people's views or knowledge of falls prevention or strategies to reduce falls, in most cases using semi-structured interviews and focus groups, and with the findings reported as themes and categories. Five examined perceptions, motivations and barriers to physical activity (Gavin and Myers 2003; Grossman and Stewart 2003; Resnick and Spellbring 2000; Sharon *et al.* 1997; Stead *et al.* 1997), and two these same reactions to home modification or assistive devices (Aminzadeh and Edwards 1998; Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999), and the remainder examined more general reactions.

The *quantitative* studies used various methods to measure or review predictors of increased exercise adherence, behaviour change, falls history, fear of falling, ability and confidence, self-efficacy, participation rates, and activity levels. Overall the quality was low to fair. Some had small sample sizes (*e.g.* Hinman 1998; Resnick 2002) and some reached conclusions and recommendations that were questionably supported by the data. For example, although Cheal and Clemson (2001) was treated as a qualitative study, it also had a quantitative component, but the uncontrolled 'before and after' design and very small sample were inadequate to assess the efficacy of the intervention. In both the randomised-controlled trials, the allocation to the samples was not fully described and neither reported a sample size calculation or an intention-to-treat analysis. Only Resnick (2002) gave details of numbers lost to follow-up.

Of the surveys, two stated that they used a random sample (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002; Edwards *et al.* 2003), and three a convenience sample (Aminzadeh and Edwards 2000; Hinman 1998; Yardley and Smith 2002). Only three studies gave the response rates (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002; Edwards *et al.* 2003; Yardley and Smith 2002), none of

TABLE 4. *Summary characteristics of included studies*

Study	Authors	Aim	Method/intervention	Participants	Setting
<b>A. Falls prevention: general</b>					
1	Allen (1999)	Explored recruitment to and attendance at falls-prevention programme.	Part of RCT of a nurse-led falls-prevention programme (included medication review and exercise).	Recently fallen aged 65+, N=202	Community, UK
2	Ballinger (2000)	Explored perspectives on falls and falling among those with hip fracture.	Qualitative study with: semi-structured interviews/discourse analysis.	Age: 65+ (M=81) N=8 (7 f, 1 m).	Hospital, UK
3	Cheal (2001)	Evaluation of <i>Steady As You Go</i> programme (enhance self-efficacy)	Before/after study. Qualitative semi-structured interviews.	Age: 65+, N=8	Community, Australia
4	Commonwealth DHAC (2000)	Explored information needs/perceptions of falls and their prevention.	Qualitative study. 7 discussion groups, 10 in-depth interviews. Thematic analysis.	Age: 65+, and carers. N=59	Rural/metro community dwellers, Australia
5	Health Education Board for Scotland (2003)	Explored constructions of the risks of falling.	Qualitative study, 2 phases. Second phase used to validate and explore data from first phase. Individual and group interviews.	Age: 60+. Phase 1 N=39 (14 m, 25 f), Phase 2 N=50 (40 f, 10 m)	Community (rural and urban), UK
6	Hinman (1998)	Described beliefs held by older adults regarding stability, cause and control of their falls.	Survey. 8 brief closed questions (no mention of validation). Convenience sample, no information on response rate.	Age: 64–91 (M=79). N=25 (15 f, 10 m)	Community and residential care, USA
7	Simpson (2003)	Examined the precautions older people are prepared to take to prevent falls.	Qualitative, semi-structured interviews. Recorded by hand-taken notes.	Age: 65+ (M=83). N=32 (26 f, 6 m).	Hospital, UK
8	Yardley (2005) Part 1	Identified negative aspects of falls prevention communications and improving messages.	Qualitative study including focus groups and 21 individual interviews.	Age: 61–94. N=66 (41 f, 24 m)	Community, UK



9	Yardley (2005) Part 2	To determine which beliefs and feelings have greatest impact on intentions to undertake falls-prevention activities. Influence of different messages.	Questionnaires/ structured interviews on attitudes to balance training (BT), beliefs/feelings about falling. Randomised to one of four groups: (a) leaflet on positive benefits of BT, (b) leaflet describing need to do BT, (c) both leaflets; (d) no leaflet.	Age: 60–95 (M = 74.7), N = 715, 73 % f	Community, UK
---	-----------------------	---	---	--	---------------

### B. Falls prevention: exercise

10	Boyette (1997)	Assess initiation to and adherence with a strength-training programme.	Follow-up subset for 6-months of a previous 4-month strength-training and flexibility intervention. Initiation defined: completing programme/attending 75 % of sessions. Adherence defined: continuing exercises 6 months after intervention.	Age: M = 71.3, N = 46 (33 f, 13 m). Healthy older adults.	Community, USA
11	Sharon (1997)	To understand older adults' attitudes and concerns about a strength-training intervention and to identify factors that determined their adherence.	Qualitative study, 3 focus groups (1 10 f, 1 7 m, 1 of 7 m/f). Participants drawn from Boyette (1997). Excluded if depressed, cognitive impairment or cardiovascular disease.	Aged: 61–82, N = 23	Community, USA
12	Bruce (2002)	Examined whether fear of falling reduced recreational physical activity levels in healthy older women.	Cross-sectional analysis of data from RCT of calcium supplements to prevent fractures. Measured fear of falling and physical activity (validated scales). 27 % response rate.	Age: 70–85 (M = 75.2). Ambulatory f, N = 1500	Community, Australia
13	Gavin (2003)	Explored participation and adherence to <i>Tai Chi</i> and line-dancing classes.	Process evaluation with qualitative and survey elements. People enrolling for <i>Tai Chi</i> /line-dancing classes. 75 % reported good health.	Age: 55+, N = 328. Mostly f (85 %), white (97 %)	Community, Canada
14	Grossman (2003)	Explored perceptions, motivations and barriers to physical activity	In-depth interviews using open-ended questions. Details of data analysis not given.	Age: 75+ (M = 80), N = 33. Sedentary/inactive.	Community, USA

TABLE 4 (*Cont.*)

Study	Authors	Aim	Method/intervention	Participants	Setting
15	Rejeski (1997)	Examined predictors of exercise compliance.	RCT. Compared health education, aerobic exercise and resistance exercise on self-reported disability. Knee osteoarthritis and self-reported difficulties with ADLs.	Age: M=68.6. N=439 (308 f, 131 m)	Unclear, Canada
16	Resnick (2000)	Explored factors influencing adherence to an exercise programme.	Qualitative study. Open-ended interviews with members of a walking group.	Age: M=81. N=23 (91 % f).	Continuing care retirement village, USA
17	Resnick (2001)	Tested model of exercise behaviour, explored factors influencing adherence to an exercise programme.	Survey. Assessed self-efficacy/motivation, fear of falling and health status using validated scores. Association between above factors and exercise adherence (session attendance).	Age: 65+ (M=85). N=201(77 % f). No cognitive impairment.	Continuing care retirement village, USA
18	Resnick (2002)	Assessed effect of intervention on self-efficacy, exercise activity, falls and fall-related injuries.	RCT, WALC intervention (walk, address pain, fear and fatigue, learn about exercise and verbal encouragement). Control: routine care, assessment and treatment when necessary. Outcomes: exercise self-efficacy, health status, exercise behaviour and activity.	Age: M=88. N=20 (3 lost to follow up). Sedentary women.	Community care retirement community, USA
19	Stead (1997)	Investigated factors influencing participation in physical activity.	Qualitative study. Focus groups.	Age:65-75+. N=6 groups of 6-8 (3 groups aged 65-74, 3 aged 75+)	Community, UK
20	Yardley (2002)	Identified commonly-feared consequences of falling and whether these lead to activity avoidance.	Survey (random sub-sample of large RCT of Vit D). 75 % response rate. Measured fear of falling at baseline and at six months (validated scales).	Age: 75+ (M=81). N=224 (106 m, 118 f), Healthy people	Community, UK

### C. Falls prevention: home modification/assistive devices

21	Aminzadeh (2000)	Explored factors associated with cane use.	Questionnaires. Convenience sample. Used cognitive mediator instrument – designed for this study (not clear if validated).	Age: 65+ (M=77). N=106. 48 % used canes	Community, Canada
22	Aminzadeh (1998)	Views on the use of assistive devices.	Qualitative study. 4 focus groups. Taped and transcribed categories established.	Age: M=72.2. N=30 (Italian and British Canadian) 70 % f	Community, Canada
23	Clemson (1999)	Examined compliance with home-hazard advice.	Qualitative study. Semi-structured in-depth ethnographic interviews	Age: 65+, N=9 (received home safety advice)	Community, Australia
24	Cumming (2001)	Examined adherence/predictors of adherence, to home modification recommendations by an OT.	Compliance study (part of RCT of OT falls-prevention intervention). 12 month follow-up.	Age: 65+ (M=76.4). N=178, 56 % f	Community (recruited at hospital), Australia.
25	Edwards (2003)	Identified predictors of bathroom safety-device use ( <i>e.g.</i> grab-bar/rails).	Descriptive comparative study, face-to-face interviews. Measured grab-bar use and falls history (validated scales) and used logistic regression to identify predictors of grab-bar use. 62.5 % response rate.	Age: 60+ (M=73.9), 76 % f. N=550 (French/English-speaking, no cognitive impairment) 32 % fell previous year	Community, Canada

*Notes:* DHAC: Department of Health and Aged Care, Commonwealth of Australia. f: female. m: male. M=average or arithmetic mean. RCT: randomised controlled trial. Vit: Vitamin. WALC: Women against lung cancer. Full citations of the included studies are in the List of References.

TABLE 5. *Methodological approaches used in included studies*

Methodology	Studies
Qualitative (n = 12)	Aminzadeh 1998, Ballinger 2000, Cheal 2001, Clemson 1999, Commonwealth of Australia 2000, Grossman 2003, Health Education Board for Scotland 2003, Resnick 2000, Sharon 1997, Simpson 2003, Stead 1997, Yardley and Todd 2005 ( <i>n.b.</i> Cheal 2001, Resnick 2000, Yardley 2005 had quantitative components).
Randomised controlled trials (n = 2)	Rejeski 1997, Resnick 2002.
Cross sectional/survey (n = 6)	Aminzadeh 2000, Bruce 2002, Edwards 2003, Hinman 1998, Resnick 2001, Yardley 2002.
Evaluation of compliance (on intervention group of an RCT/controlled trial) (n = 2)	Boyette 1997, Cumming 2001.
Process evaluation (n = 2)	Allen 1999, Gavin 2000 (included a qualitative element).

TABLE 6. *Quality assessment of the qualitative studies*

Study	Scope/ purpose	Design	Sample	Data collection	Analysis	Reliability/ validity	Generalisability/ transferability	Aggregate score <sup>3</sup>
Aminzadeh 1998	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	6.5
Ballinger 2000	+	+	~	+	+	~	+	6.0
Butler 1998	~	+	+	+	+	—	?	4.5
Cameron 1994	+	+	+	+	~	~	~	5.5
Cheal 2001	+	—	+	+	~	~	~	4.5
Clemson 1999	+	~	+	+	+	+	?	5.5
C. of Australia 2000 <sup>1</sup>	~	+	+	~	—	—	~	3.5
Gavin 2003	~	~	+	~	—	~	~	3.5
Grossman 2003	~	—	+	~	~	~	+	4.0
HEBS 2003 <sup>2</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	7.0
Resnick 2000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	7.0
Sharon 1997	+	—	~	+	~	+	~	4.5
Simpson 2003	+	—	+	+	+	+	~	5.5
Stead 1997	+	+	~	+	~	~	~	5.0
Yardley 2005	+	+	+	~	—	—	+	4.5

Notes: + yes, — no, ~ partly, ? not clear. 1. Health Education Board for Scotland. 2. Department of Health and Age Care, Commonwealth of Australia. 3. Aggregate of scores for each attribute (1 for 'yes', 0.5 for 'partly').

which were over 80 per cent. Four reported that they used validated outcome measures (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002; Edwards *et al.* 2003; Resnick 2001; Yardley and Smith 2002).

## Reactions to three types of intervention

### *General studies of falls and falls prevention*

Four of the eight studies of older people's perspectives on falls and falling in this category used qualitative methods (Ballinger and Payne 2000; Commonwealth of Australia 2000; Health Education Board for Scotland 2003; Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003) and one used quantitative methods (Hinman 1998). One study (Cheal and Clemson 2001) used quantitative and qualitative methods to examine falls and self-efficacy, and one (Yardley and Todd 2005) used mixed methods to study issues around the communication of the risk of falling and prevention strategies. One study (Allen and Simpson 1999) was a process evaluation that explored recruitment to, and attendance at, a falls-prevention programme.

It emerged that the term 'falls prevention' is unfamiliar to many older people (Commonwealth of Australia 2000) and that some are unaware of the benefits of falls-prevention interventions (Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003). Although many people accepted that environmental and personal changes might prevent falling, they tended to advocate change for others rather than themselves (Health Education Board for Scotland 2003; Hinman 1998). Other issues around falls and falls prevention that were noted included stigma, denial, identity, the attribution of falls to external factors, and low health expectations.

### *Studies of exercise interventions*

Eleven studies looked at factors that affect participation in, and adherence to, physical activity routines. Some examined exercise in general whereas others focused on specific exercise programmes such as strength training (Boyette, Sharon and Brandon 1997; Sharon *et al.* 1997), walking (Resnick and Spellbring 2000), and *T'ai Chi* and line dancing (Gavin and Myers 2003). One study examined whether fear of falling deterred physical activity (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002), and a randomised controlled trial assessed the effect of an intervention to promote exercise (Resnick 2002). Many of the studies did not treat exercise as a falls-prevention intervention but as a challenge that promotes general health; those that did showed that many people are unaware of the benefits of exercise in preventing falls (Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003; Yardley and Todd 2005).

The factors shown to increase participation in exercise programmes were high exercise self-efficacy, past exercise history, good general health, and unimpaired functional abilities (Rejeski *et al.* 1997; Resnick and Spellbring 2000; Resnick 2001). Among the programme characteristics shown to improve adherence were: frequent bouts of activity of moderate

duration (Rejeski *et al.* 1997), accessibility, transport, convenience, having a social dimension, strong leadership (Boyette, Sharon and Brandon 1997; Gavin and Myers 2003; Sharon *et al.* 1997), and exercise tailored to individual needs and capabilities (Gavin and Myers 2003). In addition, an intervention to help people learn about exercise and overcome barriers appeared to increase overall activity and exercise at six months, but the sample size was small and the follow-up was limited (Resnick 2002). The factors shown to be associated with the avoidance of exercise included greater age (Yardley and Smith 2002), fear of falling (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002), fear of exertion (Grossman and Stewart 2003), and discomfort such as pain or shortness of breath (Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003; Resnick and Spellbring 2000).

#### *Studies of home modifications and assistive devices*

Two studies explored factors in adherence to prescribed home modifications (Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999; Cumming *et al.* 2001) and one examined the use of bathroom-safety devices such as grab-bars (Edwards *et al.* 2003). Three of the general studies (reviewed above) also looked at home modifications (Commonwealth of Australia 2000; Hinman 1998; Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003). The other two studies (Aminzadeh and Edwards 1998, 2000) examined the factors that affect the use of assistive devices such as canes. Shared findings were that many see 'home-health checks' as intrusive and unnecessary, that people dislike changes to their home, and that many have a perception of low risk (Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999; Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003). The tendency to reject home-safety advice may be related to older people's wish to maintain their independence and control over their lives and homes (Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999). Barriers to the use of canes and walking aids included stigma, embarrassment, fear of dependence, and denial of the need. Home modifications and walking aids were more acceptable than eyesight and footwear checks, medication reviews, and balance and exercise programmes (Commonwealth of Australia 2000).

### **Cross-cutting themes**

#### *Barriers and facilitators*

The most common barriers and facilitators relating to participation and adherence to falls-prevention programmes that were identified by two reviewers in the quantitative and qualitative studies are shown in Table 7. Among the barriers to participation and concordance, one that emerged

TABLE 7. *Facilitators and barriers for older people participating in falls-prevention interventions*

Facilitators	Barriers
<b>A. General</b>	
Information that falls can be preventable	Fatalism/attributing falls to external causes/lack of knowledge about effectiveness of falls prevention
Communicating life-enhancing aspects of strategies, <i>e.g.</i> maintaining independence and control	Perception that physical deterioration inevitable with age
Accessible, appealing information format, from a variety of sources and in different languages	Lack of relevant information in appropriate formats/language
Choice of interventions for different people and lifestyles	Provision of 'one size fits all' advice. Advice seen as common sense/patronising
High self-efficacy	Low self-efficacy. Fear of loss of independence/risk-taking ability
Personalised modifications	No perception of need for help (no previous falls)
Emphasis on social aspects of interventions	Provoking fear of falling by using scare tactics Social stigma: association with old age/frailty Differing agenda of older people and health professionals
<b>B. Exercise</b>	
Previous exercise 'habit'	No previous exercise 'habit'
Making exercise fun/enjoyable/sociable	Physical discomfort/unpleasant sensations associated with exercise
Good leadership/facilitation	Underlying beliefs about personality type ( <i>e.g.</i> too lazy, no willpower)
Motivation/information about physical and psychological benefits of exercise	Self perception: too old to exercise
Programmes tailored to needs or lifestyle	Poor knowledge of suitable exercises
Convenient scheduling/reasonable pricing/good access and transport	Commitment and high cost. Poor access/awareness
<b>C. Home modifications/assistive devices</b>	
Facilitate feeling of ownership of interventions, shared decision-making	Dislike of interventions seen as intrusive/didactic
Referral from health-care professional (especially doctor)	Stigma of devices associated with old age

strongly was fatalistic attitudes. Falls were often attributed to chance or bad luck and therefore not regarded as preventable. Several of the studies present similar quotations from their respondents that demonstrate this attitude, for example, 'I don't know how you can be told how to prevent falling. You don't do it on purpose ... it just happens' (Yardley and Todd

2005: 16). This idea extended to people's rational for not exercising. Some respondents were reported as fatalistically believing that they were basically a lazy person or lacked willpower and therefore could not exercise (Resnick and Spellbring 2000).

A widespread finding was the attribution of falls to external causes, and a complementary resistance to admitting 'intrinsic' risk factors, such as poor eyesight or dizziness (Commonwealth of Australia 2000). Instead, falls were often attributed to external causes, such as the incompetence of others or inevitable physical deterioration, over which the individual had no control (Allen and Simpson 1999; Ballinger and Payne 2000). One of many participants' quotations that illustrated this well is found in the Health Education Board for Scotland (2003: 17) study: 'You couldn't have prevented it; it was just the corner of the pavement or something. You know something that anybody of any age could do'. In contrast, Hinman (1998) reported that 68 per cent of the participants related their falls to intrinsic rather than environmental factors, but this study had a small sample and used a brief questionnaire with closed questions that may have been leading.

Some people felt falls-prevention interventions were not appropriate for them because they had low health expectations and saw physical decline as an inevitable consequence of ageing. A characteristic expression was, 'I did a lot of exercise in years gone by ... but in recent years, no[t so]. At my age I guess I don't believe it makes a difference' (Resnick and Spellbring 2000: 39). The opposite views were also reported. Evidently many older people reject the idea that they need falls-prevention advice or help because they see themselves as fit, healthy and able to manage (Allen and Simpson 1999). They may wish to distance themselves from the identity of an 'older' person, and see falls prevention as more relevant for 'older' or 'frailer' people (Yardley and Todd 2005: 13). They may, therefore, be alienated by information that is explicitly targeted at older people or that encourages participation by stereotyping older people (Aminzadeh and Edwards 1998; Ballinger and Payne 2000; Health Education Board for Scotland 2003; Stead *et al.* 1997; Yardley and Todd 2005), and dislike advice that they see as common sense and find patronising (Yardley and Todd 2005: 14). Although some fit and active older people may understandably deny the impact of ageing, some of those at high risk and of those with a history of falls also saw falls-prevention advice as relevant to others not themselves (Yardley and Todd 2005).

Related to the issue of identity is the perception of stigma. People often felt stigmatised by interventions aimed at 'older people', for example canes, walking frames and grab-bars (Aminzadeh and Edwards 2000: 300). Pride also played a part in people being reluctant to accept advice or



help (Yardley and Todd 2005: 14). Activities that allowed older people to defy stereotypes (*e.g.* intense exercise programmes) may facilitate participation (Sharon *et al.* 1997). A contradiction was found, however, between people not wanting to be seen as 'old' and distancing themselves from other 'old' people, and the fact that many valued programmes or interventions that involved contact with people of a similar age and outlook (Stead *et al.* 1997; Sharon *et al.* 1997).

### *Independence and risk negotiation*

The studies confirm that many older people see independence as very important. Some disliked interventions that they saw as didactic or intrusive (Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003). They wanted to maintain their independence and make their own decisions and risk assessments (Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999). A Scottish participant put this well: 'I think if you've been independent all your life, it's an embarrassment to be dependent' (Health Education Board for Scotland 2003: 27). A fear of falling can lead to a loss of confidence, adversely affect participation in daily activities, and be a barrier to participation in interventions such as exercise (Bruce, Devine and Prince 2002; Cheal and Clemson 2001; Grossman and Stewart 2003; Yardley and Smith 2002). Commonly feared consequences of falling included physical damage, loss of independence, damage to identity, and embarrassment and stigma associated with falling, particularly in a public place (Health Education Board for Scotland 2003; Yardley and Smith 2002). Fear of falling could be made worse by hazard-reduction advice that was frightening and oppressive (Yardley and Todd 2005).

### *Social interaction and support*

For some participants who disliked group activities, the social aspect of falls-prevention interventions was a barrier (Allen and Simpson 1999), but it seems the majority of people preferred interventions with a strong social and recreational component (Allen and Simpson 1999; Boyette, Sharon and Brandon 1997; Stead *et al.* 1997; Sharon *et al.* 1997). Social support was important at several levels. Family and friends had a role in encouraging participation in, and adherence to, falls-prevention programmes (Cameron and Quine 1994; Grossman and Stewart 2003; Sharon *et al.* 1997). In addition, the desire to remain healthy and active so as not to be a 'burden' and to keep up with family and grandchildren was an incentive for some to exercise (Grossman and Stewart 2003). Support from programme leaders was also important with strong leadership a facilitator to exercise adherence (Boyette, Sharon and Brandon 1997; Sharon *et al.* 1997).

*Previous experience*

Previous experience of falls-prevention programmes was an important factor in acceptance of and participation in the interventions, and similarly participation in and adherence to exercise was more likely among those with a history of taking exercise (Resnick and Spellbring 2000; Resnick 2001; Stead *et al.* 1997). One study found that a 'habit' of exercise was the strongest predictor of future exercise behaviour (Rejeski *et al.* 1997). There was mixed evidence about whether having fallen previously might affect attitudes towards falls prevention. Some studies found that those who had fallen before were more likely to be receptive to falls-prevention interventions (Commonwealth of Australia 2000; Edwards *et al.* 2003), but this was not corroborated by another study (Cumming *et al.* 2001).

*The role of the healthcare professional*

Health-care professionals, particularly physicians, emerged as important social referents for older people (Aminzadeh and Edwards 2000; Commonwealth of Australia 2000; Grossman and Stewart 2003), although one study (Stead *et al.* 1997) found that they were not perceived as a credible source of information or advice on exercise. Home visits were sometimes seen as an intrusion but this reaction depended on the perceived authority of the person making the visits and recommendations: (Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003). Therapists and patients may not share the same agenda and perspectives about falls (Ballinger and Payne 2000); that is, concordance is not present, and professionals need to take into account older people's views and understand and empathise with their risk-taking behaviour (Clemson, Cusick and Fozzard 1999, Simpson, Darwin and Marsh 2003).

**Discussion**

The systematic review found 24 studies that examined some aspect of older people's attitudes towards falls prevention. Twelve used qualitative methods and the rest quantitative designs. The majority were exploratory studies and collected older people's views on falls and falls prevention. The studies identified a number of factors that affected participation in falls-prevention interventions and programmes. These included denial, fatalism, self-efficacy, past exercise habits, a fear of falling, general health and functional ability, health expectations, under-estimation of personal risk of falling, stigma, embarrassment, and the inconvenience of some assistive devices.

Of particular interest were those aspects of falls-prevention programmes that improved participation and adherence, and the studies provided evidence that social support and interaction, low intensity exercise (*e.g.* walking), education, and the perception that a programme was relevant and beneficial had these effects. Social support was very important in reinforcing engagement with falls-prevention interventions, both at the individual level (*i.e.* from health-care professionals, family, friends) and at the societal level (*i.e.* wider cultural norms that support the idea of older people remaining active).

Many of the themes identified by the review, *e.g.* identity, stigma, independence, denial of the ageing process and health expectations, concern the ways in which older people view themselves and believe they are seen by others. The social identity of older people is sometimes stigmatised, incorporating references to disability, disenfranchisement and other negative attributes. The way dependency is emphasised as a concomitant of old age is culturally constructed and historically located (Chater 1999; McCormack 2003). Discrimination towards older people has been described throughout the British National Health Service and social-care services (Grimley Evans 1997; Department of Health 2001), and is pervasive in society, which adds to older people's disempowerment (Bytheway 1995; Tones 1998). Those working with older people to prevent falls therefore need to be aware of, and to challenge, the factors that sustain their marginalised position (Ryles 1999).

One of the aims of the review was to assess the effectiveness of interventions used to promote the acceptance of falls-prevention strategies and to identify examples of good practice, but only one very small study that evaluated the promotion of adherence to a falls-prevention intervention was found (Resnick 2002). The majority of the reviewed studies were exploratory. The facilitators of participation were identified more often by inference or by the investigators' 'subjective synthesis' than by a statistically significant effect from a controlled study. Many of the studies examined only beliefs and attitudes, not actual behaviour. The presented evidence allows us to speculate about the key factors in successful interventions but these need further evaluation.

Several paradoxes that are challenges for those designing falls-prevention programmes emerged from the review. On the one hand, some people reject interventions that stereotype them as 'old' and wishing to avoid contact with other older people, but on the other hand, many people valued interventions that involved contact with people of a similar age and outlook. Another evident challenge is how health practitioners make people aware of their potential risk of falling without causing distress or denial of the problem.

*Limitations and strengths of the review*

A number of the review's methodological features could influence the validity of its results. Publication and other selection biases threaten the validity of all systematic reviews, but this is a particular problem when searching for studies that used non-randomised designs. These are more difficult to identify than randomised-controlled trials, because of the diversity of designs, the absence of standardised terminology, and the limitations of key-wording or cataloguing (Peersman *et al.* 1998). Despite our efforts to identify all eligible published and unpublished studies, we cannot exclude the possibility that some were missed. The included studies use several different methodologies. Although methods for conducting syntheses and meta-analyses of trials are well established (Egger, Davey-Smith and Altman 2001; Green and Higgins 2005), several approaches have been proposed for reviewing qualitative and non-randomised studies and they are still being developed (Campbell 2003; Dixon-Woods, Fitzpatrick and Roberts 2001; Harden *et al.* 2004).

There are also issues around quality assessment, both in terms of which quality criteria should be used and how that information should be applied to the review findings (Dixon-Woods Fitzpatrick and Roberts 2001; Sandelowski, Docherty and Emden 1997). In addition, there is no consensus on whether studies should be weighted by design and quality. Therefore, although we critically appraised the qualitative studies in the review, we did not use this information to exclude studies or weight the results. More work is needed on the tools and procedures for quality assessments of qualitative studies in systematic reviews. Despite these issues, a narrative synthesis provides a valuable overview of evidence from disparate studies, and usefully identifies the contributions of such studies to the evidence base. In particular, it collates the evidence about patient perspectives on falls prevention, which have been neglected in the development and implementation of the interventions.

The generalisability of the review findings must be considered. The studies in this review sampled older people from both community and extended-care settings. Although a few attempted to assess the efficacy of an intervention or reported associations with very few respondents, the majority had acceptable sample sizes. In addition, although some of the studies acknowledged the problems of generalisation, there was impressive consistency in the prominent themes. On the other hand, few participants were from non-English speaking backgrounds, there were few data on gender or social-class differences, and those with cognitive impairments were usually excluded.

## Conclusions

Much research has been done on which interventions are effective in preventing falls and there have been systematic reviews (Cryer 2001; Easterbrook *et al.* 2001; Gillespie *et al.* 2003; Parker, Gillespie and Gillespie 2005), but the little evidence of the factors that influence participation and long-term adherence has not previously been collated. Gender and ethnicity may affect attitudes towards and participation in falls-prevention strategies but there is no research on these factors (Horton 2002). Currently, the health-care and other professionals that are developing or providing falls-prevention services have little knowledge of either older people's views or the barriers to their participation that older people perceive. Further research that raises understanding of the factors that influence older people's ambivalence towards falls-prevention interventions and that promote their continuing involvement will improve service design and effectiveness.

## Acknowledgements

This work was as part of a larger project, 'Facilitators and barriers to older people accepting and complying with interventions to reduce falling and fractures', that was funded by the Department of Health for England through the *Accidental Injury Prevention Programme*. The views expressed in the publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health.

## References

- Allen, A. and Simpson, J. M. 1999. A primary care based falls prevention programme. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*, **15**, 2, 121–33.
- Aminzadeh, F. and Edwards, N. 1998. Exploring seniors' views on the use of assistive devices in falls prevention. *Public Health Nursing*, **15**, 4, 297–304.
- Aminzadeh, F. and Edwards, N. 2000. Factors associated with cane use among community dwelling older adults. *Public Health Nursing*, **17**, 6, 474–83.
- Ballinger, C. and Payne, S. 2000. Falling from grace or into expert hands? Alternative accounts about falling in older people. *British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, **63**, 12, 573–9.
- Boyette, L. W., Sharon, B. F. and Brandon, L. J. 1997. Exercise adherence for a strength training program in older adults. *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging*, **1**, 2, 93–7.
- Bruce, D. G., Devine, A. and Prince, R. L. 2002. Recreational physical activity levels in healthy older women: the importance of fear of falling. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, **50**, 1, 84–9.
- Butler, M., Coggan, C. and Norton, R. 1998. A qualitative investigation into the receptivity to hip protective underwear among staff and residents of residential institutions. *New Zealand Journal of Medicine*, **111**, 1075, 383–5.
- Bytheway, B. 1995. *Ageism*. Open University Press, Buckingham.

- Cameron, I. D. and Quine, S. 1994. External hip protectors: likely non-compliance among high risk elderly people living in the community. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, **19**, 3, 273–81.
- Campbell, R., Pound, P., Pope, C., Britten, N., Pill, R. and Morgan, M. 2003. Evaluating meta-ethnography: a synthesis of qualitative research on lay experiences of diabetes and diabetes care. *Social Science and Medicine*, **56**, 40, 671–84.
- Chandler, J. M., Duncan, P. W., Sanders, L. and Sudenski, S. 1996. The fear of falling syndrome: relationship to falls, physical performance and activities of daily living in frail older persons. *Topics in Geriatric Rehabilitation*, **11**, 1, 55–63.
- Chater, K. 1999. Risk and representation: older people and non-compliance. *Nursing Inquiry*, **6**, 2, 132–8.
- Cheal, B. and Clemson, L. 2001. Older people enhancing self-efficacy in fall-risk situations. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, **48**, 2, 80–91.
- Clemson, L., Cusick, A. and Fozzard, C. 1999. Managing risk and exerting control: determining follow through with falls prevention. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, **21**, 12, 531–41.
- Commonwealth of Australia 2000. *National Falls Prevention for Older People Initiative 'Step Out with Confidence': A Study into the Information Needs and Perceptions of Older Australians Concerning Falls and Their Prevention*. Department of Health and Aged Care, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Cryer, C. 2001. *What Works to Prevent Accidental Injury Among Older People?* Health Development Agency, London.
- Cumming, R. G., Thomas, M., Szonyi, G., Frampton, G., Salkeld, G. and Clemson, L. 2001. Adherence to occupational therapist recommendations for home modifications for falls prevention. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, **55**, 6, 641–8.
- Cummings, S. R., Kelsey, J., Nevitt, M. and O'Dowd, K. 1985. Epidemiology of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures. *Epidemiological Reviews*, **7**, 178–208.
- Department of Health 2001. *National Service Framework for Older People*. Department of Health, London.
- Dixon-Woods, M., Fitzpatrick, R. and Roberts, K. 2001. Including qualitative research in systematic reviews: opportunities and problems. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, **7**, 2, 125–33.
- Easterbrook, L., Horton, K., Arber, S. and Davidson, K. 2001. *International Review of Falls in Older People*. Report for the Health Development Agency and Department of Trade and Industry (DTI), DTI, London.
- Edwards, N., Lockett, D., Aminzadeh, F. and Nair, R. 2003. Predictors of bath grab-bar use among community-living older adults. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, **22**, 2, 217–27.
- Egger, G., Davey-Smith, G. and Altman, D. (eds) 2001. *Systematic Reviews in Health Care: Meta-analysis in Context*. BMJ Books, London.
- Gavin, T. S. and Myers, A. M. 2003. Characteristics, enrolment, attendance, and dropout patterns of older adults in beginner *Tai-chi* and line-dancing programs. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*, **11**, 1, 123–41.
- Giacomini, M. and Cook, D. 2000. User's guide to the medical literature: XXIII. Qualitative research in health care. B. What are the results and how do they help me care for my patients? Evidence Based Medicine Working Group. *Journal of American Medical Association*, **284**, 4, 478–82.
- Gillespie, L. D., Gillespie, W. J., Robertson, M. C., Lamb, S. E., Cumming, R. G. and Rowe, B. H. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD000340. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000340.
- Green, S. and Higgins, J. (eds) 2005. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 4.2.5 (updated May 2005), Available online at <http://www.cochrane.org/resources/handbook/hbook.htm> [Accessed June 2005].

- Grimley Evans, J. 1997. The rationing debate. Rationing healthcare by age: the case against. *British Medical Journal*, **314**, 822.
- Grossman, M. D. and Stewart, A. L. 2003. 'You aren't going to get better by just sitting around': physical activity perceptions, motivations, and barriers in adults 75 years of age or older. *American Journal of Geriatric Cardiology*, **12**, 1, 33-7.
- Harden, A., Garcia, J., Oliver, S., Rees, R., Shepherd, J., Brunton, G. and Oakley, A. 2004. Applying systematic review methods to studies of people's views: an example from public health research. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, **58**, 9, 794-800.
- Health Education Board for Scotland 2003. *The Construction of the Risks of Falling in Older People: Lay and Professional Perspectives*. Health Education Board for Scotland, Edinburgh.
- Higgins, J. P. T. and Green, S. (eds) 2006. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* 4.2.6. Online Cochrane Library. Available online at <http://www.cochrane.org/resources/handbook/hbook.htm> [Accessed 6 October 2006].
- Hinman, M. R. 1998. Causal attributions of falls in older adults. *Physical and Occupational Therapy in Geriatrics*, **15**, 3, 71-84.
- Horton, K. 2002. Gender and Falls: Older People and Key Family Members' Perspectives. Unpublished PhD thesis, Department of Sociology, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey.
- Jensen, G. F., Christiansen, C., Boesen, J., Hegedus, V. and Transbol, I. 1982. Epidemiology of postmenopausal spinal and long bone fractures: a unifying approach to postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Clinical Orthopaedics*, **166**, 75-81.
- Lachman, M. E., Howland, J., Tennstedt, S., Jette, A., Assmann, S. and Peterson, E. W. 1998. Fear of falling and activity restriction: the survey of activities of fear of falling in the elderly (SAFE). *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*, **53B**, 1, 43-50.
- Lilley, J., Arie, T. and Chilvers, C. 1995. Accidents involving older people: a review of the literature. *Age and Ageing*, **24**, 4, 346-65.
- Lu-Yao, G. L., Baron, J. A., Barrett, J. A. and Fisher, E. S. 1994. Treatment and survival among elderly Americans with hip fractures: a population-based study. *American Journal of Public Health*, **84**, 8, 1287-91.
- Magaziner, J., Simonsick, E. M., Kashner, T. M., Hebel, J. R. and Kenzora, J. E. 1989. Survival experience of aged hip-fracture patients. *American Journal of Public Health*, **79**, 3, 274-8.
- Mays, N. and Pope, C. 1995. Qualitative research: rigour and qualitative research. *British Medical Journal*, **311**, 109-12.
- McCormack, B. 2003. A conceptual framework for person-centred practice with older people. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, **9**, 3, 202-9.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) 2004. *Falls: The Assessment and Prevention of Falls in Older People: Clinical Guideline*. NICE, London.
- Parker, M. J., Twemlow, T. R. and Pryor, G. A. 1996. Environmental hazards and hip fractures. *Age and Ageing*, **25**, 4, 322-5.
- Parker, M. J., Gillespie, W. J. and Gillespie, L. D. 2005. *Hip Protectors for Preventing Hip Fractures in Older People*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005, Issue 3. Available online at <http://www.cochrane.org/reviews/en/ab001255.html> [Accessed 26 September 2007].
- Peersman, G., Harden, A., Oliver, S. and Oakley, A. I. 1998. *Reviews of Effectiveness in Health Promotion*. Report for the Department of Health, EPPI-Centre, London.
- Rejeski, W. J., Brawley, L. R., Ettinger, W., Morgan, T. and Thompson, C. 1997. Compliance to exercise therapy in older participants with knee osteoarthritis: implications for treating disability. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, **29**, 8, 977-85.
- Resnick, B. 2001. A prediction model of aerobic exercise in older adults living in a continuing-care retirement community. *Journal of Aging and Health*, **13**, 2, 287-310.



- Resnick, B. 2002. Testing the effect of the WALC intervention on exercise adherence in older adults. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, **28**, 1, 40–9.
- Resnick, B. and Spellbring, A. M. 2000. Understanding what motivates older adults to exercise. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, **26**, 3, 34–42.
- Ryles, S. M. 1999. A concept analysis for empowerment: its relationship to mental health nursing. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, **29**, 3, 600–7.
- Sandelowski, M., Docherty, S. and Emden, C. 1997. Qualitative metasynthesis: issues and techniques. *Research in Nursing and Health*, **20**, 4, 365–71.
- Sharon, B. H., Hennessy, C. H., Brandon, J. and Boyette, L. 1997. Older adults' experiences of a strength training program. *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging*, **1**, 2, 103–8.
- Simpson, J. M., Darwin, C. and Marsh, N. 2003. What are older people prepared to do to avoid falling? A qualitative study in London. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, **8**, 4, 152, 154–9.
- Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J. and Dillon, L. 2003. *Quality in Qualitative Evaluation: A Framework for Assessing Research Evidence*. Government Chief Social Researcher's Office, Cabinet Office, London. Available online at [http://www.policyhub.gov.uk/docs/a\\_quality\\_framework.pdf](http://www.policyhub.gov.uk/docs/a_quality_framework.pdf) [Accessed 26 September 2007].
- Stead, M., Wimbush, E., Eadie, D. and Teer, P. 1997. A qualitative study of older people's perceptions of ageing and exercise: the implications for health promotion. *Health Education Journal*, **56**, 1, 3–16.
- Thomas, J., Sutcliffe, K., Harden, A., Oakley, A., Oliver, S., Rees, R., Brunton, V. and Kavanagh, J. 2003. *Children and Healthy Eating: A Systematic Review of Barriers and Facilitators*. Social Science Research Unit, EPPI Centre, Institute of Education, University of London, London.
- Tones, K. 1998. Empowerment for health: the challenge. In Kendall, S. (ed.), *Health and Empowerment*. Arnold, London, 185–204.
- Yardley, L. and Smith, H. 2002. A prospective study of the relationship between feared consequences of falling and avoidance of activity in community-living older people. *The Gerontologist*, **42**, 1, 17–23.
- Yardley, L. and Todd, C. 2005. *Encouraging Positive Attitudes to Falls Prevention in Later Life*. Help the Aged, London.

Accepted 28 September 2007

*Address for correspondence:*

Frances Bunn, Senior Research Fellow, Centre for Research in Primary and Community Care, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane Campus, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK.

E-mail: [f.bunn@herts.ac.uk](mailto:f.bunn@herts.ac.uk)